

XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
JEFFREY R. VINCENT  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
WILFRED FONG  
Deputy Attorney General  
State Bar No. 154303  
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor  
P.O. Box 70550  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550  
Telephone: (510) 879-0189  
Facsimile: (510) 622-2270  
E-mail: Wil.Fong@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Defendant CHP Sergeant Keerat Lal*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**KAMREE MILLER,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**FRANK MERANDA, Officer for California  
Highway Patrol; KEERAT LAL, Sergeant  
for California Highway Patrol,**

Defendants.

Case No. 3:18-cv-07323-EMC

**AMENDED ANSWER BY DEFENDANT  
CHP SERGEANT LAL TO FIRST  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Courtroom: 5, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco  
Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen  
Trial Date: Not set  
Action Filed: December 4, 2018

COMES NOW Defendant CHP Sergeant Lal and in response to the First Amended Complaint (hereafter "complaint") on file herein admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

1. Answering paragraph 1 of the complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.
2. Answering paragraph 2 of the complaint, denied.
- 3.. Answering paragraph 3 of the complaint, denied.
4. Paragraph 4 of the complaint contains no charging allegations.

1           5.     Answering paragraph 5 of the complaint, Defendant does not dispute that venue and  
2 jurisdiction are appropriate. Defendant denies that any acts or omissions alleged give rise to any  
3 claim asserted by Plaintiff.

4           6.     Answering paragraph 6 of the complaint, Defendant does not dispute that venue and  
5 jurisdiction are appropriate. Defendant denies that any acts or omissions alleged give rise to any  
6 claim asserted by Plaintiff.

7           7.     Answering paragraph 7 of the complaint, Defendant does not dispute that venue and  
8 jurisdiction are appropriate.

9           8.     Answering paragraph 8 of the complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information at  
10 this time to admit or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

11          9.     Answering paragraph 9, admitted.

12          10.    Answering paragraph 10, admitted.

13          11.    Answering paragraph 11, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
14 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

15          12.    Answering paragraph 12, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
16 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

17          13.    Answering paragraph 13, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
18 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

19          14.    Answering paragraph 14, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
20 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

21          15.    Answering paragraph 15, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
22 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

23          16.    Answering paragraph 16, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
24 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

25          17.    Answering paragraph 17, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
26 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

27          18.    Answering paragraph 18, admitted.  
28

1           19. Answering paragraph 19, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
2 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

3           20. Answering paragraph 20, denied.

4           21. Answering paragraph 21, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
5 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

6           22. Answering paragraph 22, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
7 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

8           23. Answering paragraph 23, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
9 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

10          24. Answering paragraph 24, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
11 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

12          25. Answering paragraph 25, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
13 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

14          26. Answering paragraph 26, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
15 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

16          27. Answering paragraph 27, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
17 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

18          28. Answering paragraph 28, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
19 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

20          29. Answering paragraph 29, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
21 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

22          30. Answering paragraph 30, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
23 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

24          31. Answering paragraph 31, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
25 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

26          32. Answering paragraph 32, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
27 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.  
28

1           33. Answering paragraph 33, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
2 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

3           34. Answering paragraph 34, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
4 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

5           35. Answering paragraph 35, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
6 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

7           36. Answering paragraph 36, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
8 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

9           37. Answering paragraph 37, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
10 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

11           38. Answering paragraph 38, Defendant admits that on or about July 19, 2017, he  
12 contacted Marty Guy as part of his investigation into the conduct of former officer Frank  
13 Meranda. Defendant denies the remaining charging allegations, if any.

14           39. Answering paragraph 39, denied.

15           40. Answering paragraph 40, denied.

16           41. Answering paragraph 41, denied.

17           42. Answering paragraph 42, denied.

18           43. Answering paragraph 43, Defendant admits that he requested Kamree Miller to meet  
19 with the CHP to discuss Frank Meranda's potential misconduct. Defendant denies the remaining  
20 charging allegations, if any.

21           44. Answering paragraph 44, denied.

22           45. Answering paragraph 45, denied.

23           46. Answering paragraph 46, admitted.

24           47. Answering paragraph 47, admitted.

25           48. Answering paragraph 48, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
26 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

1           49. Answering paragraph 49, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials  
2 and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 48, as though fully set forth  
3 herein.

4           50. Answering paragraph 50, denied.

5           51. Answering paragraph 51, denied.

6           52. Answering paragraph 52, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials  
7 and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 51, as though fully set forth  
8 herein.

9           53. Answering paragraph 53, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
10 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

11           54. Answering paragraph 54, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials  
12 and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 53, as though fully set forth  
13 herein.

14           55. Answering paragraph 55, denied.

15           56. Answering paragraph 56, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials  
16 and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 55, as though fully set forth  
17 herein.

18           57. Answering paragraph 57, denied.

19           58. Answering paragraph 58, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials  
20 and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 57, as though fully set forth  
21 herein.

22           59. Answering paragraph 59, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
23 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

24           60. Answering paragraph 60, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
25 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.

26           61. Answering paragraph 61, Defendant lacks sufficient information at this time to admit  
27 or deny the charging allegations, if any, and on that basis they are denied.  
28

62. Answering paragraph 62, Defendant incorporates by reference all admissions, denials and affirmative allegations responsive to paragraphs 1 through 61, as though fully set forth herein.

63. Answering paragraph 63, denied.

64. Answering paragraph 64, including subparts a. and b., denied.

AS SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, answering Defendant alleges as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1:

The complaint presents no actual controversy or justiciable question which is presently suitable for determination in this court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The complaint and each cause of action therein fail to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3:

The complaint and each cause of action therein are uncertain.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4:

The complaint and each cause of action therein fail to allege facts sufficient to state a claim for punitive damages. (Gov. Code, § 818; Civ. Code, § 3294.)

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5:

The complaint and each cause of action therein are barred by the two-year statute of limitations of Code of Civil Procedure section 335.1.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6:

The complaint and each cause of action therein are barred by the statute of limitations of Code of Civil Procedure section 342 and Government Code sections 945.4 and 945.6.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7:

Should plaintiff herein recover damages, the amount thereof should be abated, apportioned and reduced to the extent that the negligence of any other person or entity caused or contributed to damages, if any there were.

1        AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8:

2        Plaintiff herein willingly, voluntarily and knowingly assumed each and every risk and  
3        hazard involved in the activities alleged in the complaint.

4        AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9:

5        Any and all alleged happenings and events, damages and injuries, if any there were, were  
6        proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence of plaintiff and others, each and all of  
7        whom failed to exercise ordinary care at the times and places alleged in the complaint.

8        AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10:

9        Pursuant to Government Code section 985, any judgment entered herein may be reduced for  
10       collateral source payments paid or obligated to be paid for services or benefits that were provided  
11       prior to commencement of trial.

12       AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11:

13       This action against a public entity is barred by the failure to precede the action with a  
14       claim as required by Gov. Code sections 905.2, 905.7, 911.2, 945.2 and 950.2.

15       AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12:

16       The damages alleged in the complaint herein are subject to a set-off either partially or in  
17       full.

18       AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 13:

19       At all relevant times, each defendant acted independently and not in association or concert  
20       with or as agent or servant of any other defendant, including any sued herein under fictitious  
21       names, or of the employees or agents of other defendants.

22       AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 14:

23       Answering defendant was at all relevant times acting within the course and scope of duty of  
24       public employment.

25       AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 15:

26       This action was filed and is maintained without reasonable cause and without a good faith  
27       belief that there is a justifiable controversy under the facts and law to warrant the filing of the  
28       pleading. As the proceeding arises under the California Government Claims Act or is for express

1 or implied indemnity or for contribution in a civil action, defendants are entitled to recover costs,  
 2 including reasonable attorneys' fees, upon prevailing on summary judgment, judgment before  
 3 presentation of defense evidence, directed verdict, or nonsuit. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1038.)

4 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 16:

5 Plaintiff's own conduct estops plaintiff from claiming the damages alleged in the complaint.

6 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 17:

7 The complaint and each cause of action therein are barred and this court is without  
 8 jurisdiction as there has been a failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

9 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 18:

10 The causes of action therein are subject to the statutory limitation of Civil Code section  
 11 1431, et seq., relating to joint and several liability for economic and noneconomic damages.

12 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19:

13 Pursuant to Government Code sections 962 and 984, any judgment entered herein may be  
 14 paid by periodic payments rather than in a lump sum.

15 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 20:

16 At all relevant times, plaintiff failed to mitigate injury and damages.

17 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 21:

18 Because the complaint is couched in conclusory terms, all affirmative defenses that may be  
 19 applicable to the within action cannot be fully anticipated. Accordingly, the right to assert  
 20 additional affirmative defenses, if and to the extent that such affirmative defenses are applicable,  
 21 is reserved.

22 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 22:

23 The instant action is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

24 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 23:

25 Should Defendant be found liable to Plaintiff, which liability is expressly denied, any award  
 26 for past medical expenses cannot exceed the amount paid by any third party to Plaintiff's medical  
 27 providers as full payment for the medication services rendered. In addition, to the extent  
 28



1 Plaintiff's fault caused or contributed to Plaintiff's injury, any award for past medical expenses  
2 can be further reduced in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 985.

3 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 24:

4 If and to the extent that the allegations of the complaint attempt to enlarge upon the facts  
5 and contentions set forth in the California Government Claims Program claim, if any there was,  
6 said complaint fails to state a cause of action and is barred by Government Code sections 905.2,  
7 911.2 and 950.2.

8 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 25:

9 Answering defendant s made the statement and did the acts and made the omissions alleged  
10 in the complaint, if at all, in the course of employment by the State of California and plaintiff has  
11 failed to file a claim to the Government Claims Board as required by Government Code section  
12 950.2.

13 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 26:

14 If and to the extent that any claim was presented to the California Government Claims  
15 Program, this lawsuit is untimely because it was filed more than six-months after a written notice  
16 of rejection of claim was personally delivered or deposited in the mail. (Gov. Code sec. 945.6.)

17 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 27:

18 This court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the causes of action alleged in the  
19 complaint herein because the allegations do not state a cause of action for Constitutional tort, or  
20 any cause of action at all.

21 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 28:

22 At all relevant times, defendant exercised due care and acted only in the execution or  
23 enforcement of the law.

24 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 29:

25 The complaint herein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action in that  
26 simple negligence is not a federal civil rights violation.

27 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 30:

1 Answering defendants have not deprived any person of any right, privilege or immunity  
 2 guaranteed by the Constitution or laws of the United States. There has been no deprivation of any  
 3 right, privilege or immunity guaranteed by the laws or Constitution of the United States.

4 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 31:

5 There is no imputed liability between public officers in actions under the Federal Civil  
 6 Rights Act.

7 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 32:

8 All acts of defendant defendants, if any there were, occurred in the proper exercise of police  
 9 powers without malice or intent to deprive any person of any right arising under the Constitution  
 10 or laws of the United States, or to do any person any other injury.

11 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 33:

12 There is no liability for any injury or damages, if any there were, resulting from an exercise  
 13 of discretion vested in a public employee, whether or not such discretion be abused. (Gov. Code,  
 14 §§ 815.2, 820.2.)

15 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 34:

16 There is no liability in that the acts alleged in the complaint, if done at all, were done in the  
 17 execution and enforcement of the law while exercising due care. (Gov. Code, §§ 815.2, 820.4.)

18 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 35:

19 There is no liability for injury or damages, if any there were, caused by the instituting or  
 20 prosecuting of any judicial or administrative proceeding. (Gov. Code, §§ 815.2, 821.6.)

21 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 36:

22 There is no liability for any injury or damages, if any there were, caused by a  
 23 misrepresentation by any public employee, whether such misrepresentation was negligent or  
 24 intentional or not. (Gov. Code, §§ 818.8, 822.2.)

25 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that:

- 26 1. Judgment be rendered in favor of Defendant and against plaintiff; and
- 27 2. Plaintiff take nothing by the Complaint; and
- 28 3. Defendant be awarded costs of suit incurred herein; and

4. Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

Dated: May 14, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
JEFFREY R. VINCENT  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

---

/s/ *Wil Fong*

WIL FONG  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*CHP Sergeant Keerat Lal*

OK2018900834  
13725263.docx

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Defendant Sergeant Lal demands a jury trial.

Dated: May 14, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
JEFFREY R. VINCENT  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

/s/Wil Fong  
WIL FONG  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*CHP Sergeant Keerat Lal*